<sup>5d⊕</sup> FOIAb3b

December 12, 1966

## **CPYRGHT**

## Student Decision To the Editor:

The sudden emergence of SDS a a potential power on the Columbi campus demands the attention of all students on the Columbia cam pus as well as the Barnard cam pus. The organization is less that a month old, but has already mad its power felt by President Kirk The organization is now in the process of defining its goals.

Because I recognize some of SDS's goals, which are now being verbalized and printed, as good and would like to see realization dents will decide who they up no of these goals, I would like to want on campus and what wa make the following constructive they will not support; SDS shoul criticism of what I read in Lewis not decide this for them, no mor cember 2, 1966, which I feel might should. hinder the success of SDS.

mittee"—I assume that the views tinues that SDS (as an arm o presented in his letter represent those of the organization called sps. at the first of the same

Mr. Cole stated that SDS "was formed both to keep the CIA off campus and to try to make the uses to which the University was put, dependent on the active support of the students." The two aims cannot be as easily tied together as the "and" ties them. If SDS wants to make the uses to which the University is put dependent upon the support of the students, how can they also say that they do not want the CIA on campus, as if this would be the decision the students would make, when in fact, they have taken no votes on this; they have not questioned the thousands of students who the University is to be dependent upon? If SDS wants the students to decide, how does SDS know that the students do not want the CIA on campus? With that statement, SDS could lose potential support of people who back having the students decide University policy, but who also might feel the CIA should be allowed on campus. Which is the goal of SDS? It cannot be both, for they are mutually exclusive statements at this point. I feel the goal, and the bese SDS defined needs have been only goal, at this point, of SDS

should be to try to make the delleducated cisions about the uses to which the University is put, depende upon the students. If this go is complicated with other goa such as, keeping the CIA off car pus, active opposition by University to the war in Nam, keeping Bennett Cerf campus, or active opposition the University to the war poverty, potential backers will lost. If the single goal of d pendency of policy upon the sti dents is achieved, then the sti

Cole's Letter to the Editor of De-than SDS feels the administration

Mr. Cole says that SDS is un Because Lewis Cole signs under able to be objective and that the his name — "SDS Steering Com-will make judgments. He con-God?) will make the students understand their needs; under stand themselves as desiring sub jective people . . ." Is Mr. Cole trying to shame the students? As a student, I do not want the administration or SDS to make my needs understood to me; vant to make my needs undertood to the administration or to DS. If SDS would be objective and not make moral judgments as o what my needs are, but would vork to assure that my needs would be understood and complied with, by the administration, I, as now subjective student) would be ery happy to help in this camaign. But, I do not want Mr. Cole to "overthrow" Pres. Kirk, and then tell me that I do not want Bennett Cerf on campus, when ineed I do, (or thought I did) no ore than I want Pres. Kirk to tell me this now. If SDS wants achieval of student power, it canot assert that it will teach the udents understanding of their needs, since that means SDS pow-, not student power.

At the end of his letter, Mr. Cole no longer saying that he will make students understand their eds, but he has assumed that

phatically-"the students will be able to say with controlled energy to Pres. Kirk that he does not represent them . . ." Where did the voting take place? I didn't get my one vote on what I want to say to Pres. Kirk, yet I am included in that statement. Mr. Cole should be stating that students will be able to say something to Pres. Kirk, which will be listened to and complied with, whether it be that they feel he does represent them or that he does not-if student power is indeed the goal.

Student power means that the students make the decisions—n the administration and not SDS. SDS cannot assert these student opinions now, for they do not know them, anymore than they assert Pres. Kirk does not know them. SDS should be working instead to make the student's opinions and needs known, listened to, and complied with by the administration be it Pres. Kirk's or Mr. Cole's. SDS's goal is for the decisions the University to be dependent upon the students, as I feel it ba ically is and should be, SDS mu not make value judgments for ti students who are to ultimate make their own value judgments for the motto is to "Let the Peop Decide" not SDS, SDS, in order achieve the student power godl, around which I hope and feel marb students will rally, must be o

Namey Jacoby December 6, 1968

CPYRGHT

Sanitized - Approved For selected by the students properly of 149R000100880001-7